


Submission 44	Conference	News	EasyChair
---------------	------------	------	-----------

ICIC 2019 Submission 44

Submission information updates are disabled.

For all questions related to processing your submission you should contact the conference organizers.
[Click here to see information about this conference.](#)

All **reviews sent to you** can be found at the bottom of this page.

Submission 44	
Title	The Perspectives of Design Thinking Method on Computer Network Design and Implementation
Paper:	 (Jul 13, 00:59 GMT) (previous versions)
Author keywords	Design Thinking Lecturing Process Computer Network Design and Implementation
EasyChair keyphrases	design thinking (605), design thinking methodology (126), computer network (110), learning objective (110), design thinking method (110), learning methodology (90), online course (70), cisco networking academy (63), engineering hasanuddin university (63), hasanuddin university indonesia (63), computer network design (63), design thinking framework (63), lecturing methodology (60), informatics engineering (60), lecturing process (50), problem solving (50), online system (50), student perspective (50), network design (50), informatics engineering hasanuddin (47), applying design thinking (47), analytic hierarchy process (47), hasanuddin university (40), subject named special topic (40), software engineering (40), user centered design method (40)
Topics	Information Technology
Abstract	Design thinking is the method of thinking that comes from human experiences. It is a popular method to make an innovation. The Indonesian ministry of research, technology, and higher education tend to set a high value on students' innovative skills. This paper is an introduction to the example of applying design thinking method at the Informatics Engineering Department, Hasanuddin University, Indonesia. The perspectives of the design thinking method on computer network design and implementation are described. The subject named "Special Topic of Computer Network" is used as a case to study the method. The student's perspectives are gathered by the survey. The data on students' desire for lecturing method have been carried out. The study receives good responses and results from participated students. The analysis shows that the design thinking method is one of a good learning alternative to be applied in the future.
Submitted	Jul 12, 05:30 GMT
Last update	Jul 12, 05:30 GMT

Authors							
first name	last name	email	country	affiliation	Web page	corresponding?	presenter
Zulkifli	Tahir	zulkifli@unhas.ac.id	Indonesia	Hasanuddin University		✓	✓
Amil Ahmad	Ilham	amil@unhas.ac.id	Indonesia	Hasanuddin University			

Muhammad	Niswar	niswar@unhas.ac.id	Indonesia	Hasanuddin University		
Dewiani	Djamaluddin	dewiani@unhas.ac.id	Indonesia	Hasanuddin University		

Reviews

Review 1

<i>Novelty</i>	3: (Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated.)
<i>Research Method</i>	5: (Research method is easily identified and is appropriate to address the problem)
<i>Research Question</i>	4: (Problem can be identified, and related to literature review)
<i>Finding and Discussion</i>	4: (Good discussion with adequate evidence to support conclusion)
<i>English Writing</i>	4: (good) 2: (accept)
<i>Overall evaluation</i>	The paper analyze design thinking. Some clarifications are needed: 1. The method should be added in abstract 2. Type of research should be clearly stated (quantitative or qualitative) 3. The AHP should be stated in abstract or in keywords 4. The 16 respondents should be describe (GPA, gender, etc.)

Review 2

<i>Novelty</i>	2: (Minor variations on a well investigated subject.)
<i>Research Method</i>	3: (Research method is not clearly identified, but inappropriateness is not evident)
<i>Research Question</i>	2: (Problem is incomprehensible, and literature review is vague)
<i>Finding and Discussion</i>	3: (Standard arguments that only partially support the conclusion)
<i>English Writing</i>	3: (fair) 0: (borderline paper) 1) The abstract should inform brief information about the study. 2) What is the main problem?
<i>Overall evaluation</i>	3) What is the importance of section II? 4) Table I is too long. 5) AHP and MCDM rise in section IV? 6? the results?

Review 3

<i>Novelty</i>	2: (Minor variations on a well investigated subject.)
<i>Research Method</i>	3: (Research method is not clearly identified, but inappropriateness is not evident)
<i>Research Question</i>	2: (Problem is incomprehensible, and literature review is vague)
<i>Finding and Discussion</i>	3: (Standard arguments that only partially support the conclusion)
<i>English Writing</i>	3: (fair) 0: (borderline paper) 1. There is no explanation of the Table II. Make sure every figure and table has an explanation in paragraph.
<i>Overall evaluation</i>	2. There is no proposed method. Please add the proposed method. 3. Please give a specific information about the data used in the research (example: number of data, atribute/criteria, sampling method, etc). 4. AHP is used for what? Is there any weighting process?